

Re: SMOB Appeal RE: Removal of Gregorio

From: Wuu, Sasha Ethan.Wuu@UTDallas.edu
To: Schwartz, Devin Devin@utdallas.edu, Lum, Lydia Lydia.Lum@UTDallas.edu, Olivares Gutierrez, Gregorio Gregorio.OlivaresGutierrez@UTDallas.edu, Huffenberger, Jenni jennib@utdallas.edu, Bainbridge, Avery Avery.Bainbridge@UTDallas.edu, Johnson, Janet Janet.Johnson@UTDallas.edu, Sweet, Kevin James Kevin.Sweet@UTDallas.edu, Romine, Davy Laurence Davy.Romine@UTDallas.edu, Pal, Nikita Nikita.Pal@UTDallas.edu, Thakkar, Yash Bharat Yash.Thakkar@UTDallas.edu, Croom, Maizie Gaia Maizie.Croom@UTDallas.edu, Pescalia, Krista Shannon Krista.Pescalia@UTDallas.edu
Cc: Kesden, Michael kesden@utdallas.edu, Fitch, Gene gene.fitch@utdallas.edu, Morales, Katherine kmorales@utdallas.edu, Bhattacharya, Debopreeta debopreeta@utdallas.edu
Sent: Tuesday, September 17 at 19:37

Hello all,

I unequivocally support Devin's call to reconvene the board, given that the bylaws express no indication that Gregorio should not have sent the appeal to the board directly – only that the senior director must receive the appeal within two class days – and in consideration of the illegal nature of the meeting called last Friday and its decision, in accordance with §2.1(k) and §2.9(f), which are as follows:

§2.1(k) "Quorum consists of a majority of all filled board positions."

§2.9(f) "Removal of any student media management from their duties shall require a majority vote of voting members of the board."

Since only six (6) of the 12 members of the board were present during the meeting, the condition for meeting requiring a majority of all filled board positions (seven [7] of 12) was not fulfilled. Additionally, the vote of 3-1 in favor of removing Gregorio from office does not qualify as a majority of the voting members of the board (four [4] of seven [7]). By the terms of the same bylaws that have been cited to dismiss Gregorio from their position and to prevent the reconvening of this board, every pretense under which the decision to remove Gregorio from office was made was illegal and should not be officially recognized. If any of the board members or the senior director have any desire to

remove Gregorio from office, a new meeting **must** be held to review Gregorio's alleged violations of the bylaws and/or his appeal. I have already indicated my availability as requested by Devin's email, and ask that each of the members of the Student Media Operating Board do the same.

Sincerely,
Sasha Wuu
she/her/hers
AMP - Editor-in-Chief
UT Dallas, SU 1.601C

From: Schwartz, Devin <Devin@utdallas.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2024 7:14 PM
To: Lum, Lydia <Lydia.Lum@UTDallas.edu>; Olivares Gutierrez, Gregorio <Gregorio.OlivaresGutierrez@UTDallas.edu>; Huffenberger, Jenni <jennib@utdallas.edu>; Bainbridge, Avery <Avery.Bainbridge@UTDallas.edu>; Johnson, Janet <Janet.Johnson@UTDallas.edu>; Sweet, Kevin James <Kevin.Sweet@UTDallas.edu>; Romine, Davy Laurence <Davy.Romine@UTDallas.edu>; Pal, Nikita <Nikita.Pal@UTDallas.edu>; Thakkar, Yash Bharat <Yash.Thakkar@UTDallas.edu>; Wuu, Sasha <Ethan.Wuu@UTDallas.edu>; Croom, Maizie Gaia <Maizie.Croom@UTDallas.edu>; Pescalia, Krista Shannon <Krista.Pescalia@UTDallas.edu>
Cc: Kesden, Michael <kesden@utdallas.edu>; Fitch, Gene <gene.fitch@utdallas.edu>; Morales, Katherine <kmorales@utdallas.edu>; Bhattacharya, Debopreeta <debopreeta@utdallas.edu>
Subject: Re: SMOB Appeal RE: Removal of Gregorio

Hi Ms. Lum, et al.,

Apologies for the repeated emails outside of business hours. I have linked the Student Media Bylaws for verification of policy. Below is a complete transcription of section 4.5 Review and Appeal, with emphasis added for clarity.

"(a) **The operating board shall serve as the first review of appealed operating decisions** as are permitted under the various provisions of this chapter.
(b) **The senior director is the final level in the appellate process.**
(c) In all matters of review and appeal under this chapter, the board shall review, hear